

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.RAMKUMAR

THURSDAY, THE 1ST MARCH 2007 / 10TH PHALGUNA 1928

Bail Appl..No. 1072 of 2007()

CRIME NO. 2 OF 2007 OF NEDUMANGAD POLICE STATION

PETITIONERS: ACCUSED PERSONS 1,2,3,7 AND 9

1. SURESH KUMAR, N., S/O. NESSYYAN NADAR,
AGED 32 YEARS, RESIDING AT KASALAKUZHI VEEDU
KARAKULAM, KARAKULAMP.O.,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
2. SELVARAJ, S/O. NATARAJAN, AGED 25 YEARS, RESIDING
AT VIJAYA BHAVAN, THAIPILAMOODU -DO-
3. VIJAYARAJ., N. S/O. NATARAJAN, AGED 27 YEARS -DO-
4. RAJEESH @ RATHEESH, S/O. RAGHAVAN NADAR, AGED 22
YEARS, RESIDING AT RAJEESH BHAVAN, AYANIKADU,
KARAKULAM P.O., -DO-
5. SATHEESH KUMAR P., S/O.PADMANABHAN, AGED 21
YEARS,
RESIDING AT AYANIKKADU NIRAPPIL PARAVILAKATHU
PUTHEN VEEDU, KARAKULAM VILLAGE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
6. S.SURESH, S/O.SANKARAN NADAR, AGED 28 YEARS,
RESIDING
AT PARAVILAKATHU MELEPUTHEN VEEDU, -DO-

BY ADV. SRI.G.P.SHINOD,
& SRI.MANU V.

SRI.RAM MOHAN.G.

RESPONDENTS:

1. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY ITS PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM
2. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICEL, NEDUMANGAD POLICE STATION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.P.N. SUKUMARAN

THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 01/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

V. RAMKUMAR, J.

*** * * * ***

Bail Application No. 1072 of 2007

*** * * * ***

Dated, this the 1st day of March 2007

ORDER

Petitioners six in number seek anticipatory bail on the allegation that Nedumangad police are at their heels in connection with Crime No. 2 of 2007 registered for offences punishable under Sections 143, 147, 148, 452, 294(b), 323, 324 and 427 read with Sec. 149 I.P.C., seek anticipatory bail.

2. The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the 6th petitioner is not an accused in the case. If so, the apprehension entertained by the 6th petitioner for arrest and harassment is unfounded and recording the said submission of the Public Prosecutor the 6th petitioner is denied anticipatory bail.

3. In the case of the rest of the petitioners, since accused Nos. 2, 3 and 11 have already been granted anticipatory bail, I see no reason why the petitioners should be treated differently. Accordingly, a direction is issued to the officer-in-charge of the police station concerned to release petitioners 1 to 5 on bail for a period of one month in the event of their arrest in connection with the above case on each of them executing a bond for Rs. 10,000/-

(Rupees ten thousand only) with two solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction to the said officer and subject to the following conditions:

1. Petitioners 1 to 5 shall report before the Investigating Officer between 9 a.m. and 11 a.m. on all Wednesdays.
2. Petitioners 1 to 5 shall make themselves available for interrogation as and when required by the Investigating Officer.
3. Petitioners 1 to 5 shall not influence or intimidate the prosecution witnesses nor shall they attempt to tamper with the evidence for the prosecution.
4. Petitioners 1 to 5 shall not commit any offence while on bail.
5. Petitioners 1 to 5 shall surrender before the Magistrate concerned and seek regular bail in the meanwhile.

If the petitioners 1 to 5 commit breach of any of the above conditions, the bail granted to them shall be liable to be cancelled.

This application is allowed as above.

**V.RAMKUMAR,
JUDGE.**

ani.

Bail A.No.**1072 of 2007**

-:3:-

**V. RAMKUMAR,
(JUDGE)**

ani.